

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/642,949                    | 08/18/2003  | Stefan Bertil Ohlsson | 2002B116/2              | 4296            |
| 7590 03/09/2005               |             |                       | EXAMINER                |                 |
| ExxonMobil Chemical Company   |             |                       | NUTTER, NATHAN M        |                 |
| Law Technology P. O. Box 2149 |             |                       | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Baytown, TX 77522-2149        |             |                       | 1711                    |                 |
|                               |             |                       | DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
|   | 10/642,949  | OHLSSON, STEFAN BERTIL   |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | Nathan M. Nutter  | 1711   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |   |  |
| 1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E   | action is non-final.<br>ace except for formal matters, pro  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-60 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | ·   |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner   | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0803.  | 4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:   |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/642,949

**Art Unit: 1711** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Whaley, newly cited.

The reference to Whaley teaches the manufacture of polyethylene films having "high clarity" that may be monolayer and used in shrink-wrap methods for articles, as recited in claims 1-16 and 36-46, or multi-layer and used for shrink-wrapping articles, as recited in claims 17-35 and 51-60. Note column 1 (lines 6-12) for high clarity and column 2 (lines 42-46) and Tables 1-5 for low haze values for the composition. Note column 6 (lines 26-41) for the employment of the compositions as single layer or

multiple layer films. Component A of the reference is the second component, "(b) low density polyethylene (LDPE)," recited herein, and the Component B of the reference is the first component, "(a) a polyethylene copolymer." The reference teaches that the film composition may comprise a polyethylene copolymer having a Composition Distribution Breadth Index (CDBI) "in the range of from 75 to 90%," overlapping with that claimed herein and a Molecular Weight Distribution (MWD) "in the range of from 3.5 to 15," overlapping at a MWD of 3.5-5.5, at column 2 (lines 36-41). At column 5 (lines 41-49), the patent discloses a Melt Index (MI) "in the range of from 0.1 dg/min to 1000 dg/min" (0.001 g/10 min to 10 g/10 min), which overlaps with that claimed herein at "0.1 g/10 min to 10 g/10 min". The reference teaches the polymer to have a density "in the range of from 0.86 to 0.97 g/cm<sup>3</sup>," embracing the density range recited herein at column 5 (lines 51-55). The reference teaches the inclusion of "(b) a low density polyethylene (LDPE)" at Examples 1 and 2, column 7, and column 5 (lines 51-55), and that may include the high density polyethylene in concept at the paragraph bridging column 5 to column 6, as recited in instant claims 12, 13, 32, 49 and 50. The weight percentages of inclusion for each component (a) and (b), as recited in instant claims 9-11, 27-30 and 46-48, are shown at column 2 (lines 23-27) to be "Component A comprises between 10" to 90 weight percent polymer blend and Component B comprises between 90 to 10 weight percent of the total weight percent polymer blend." The reference teaches at the Examples and Tables 1 and 2 the manufacture of "(n)ominal 1.0 mil (25.4 µm) films are made," which embrace those recited in claims 14, 15, 33 and 34. As regards the recitations in instant claims 16 and 35, it is submitted that the thickness of the film, as

inferred by the term "nominal" is clearly manipulable dependent on orifice size for the extrusion process. The values for the clarity of the film, though not shown by the reference in percentages would be expected to be within those recited and claimed since the reference teaches low haze values in Tables 1-5, "high clarity" at column 1 (lines 6-12) and the composition is employed in the optical arts. Note the Abstract. While the reference is not specific to "puncture resistance damaging energy value(s)" in mJ/µm, in Table 1, "Puncture Resistance" is shown in units of "in-lb/mil" with attendant high values. The polyethylene copolymer and the low density polyethylene are taught by the reference to have essentially all of the physical characteristics, except for melt index ratio for the polyethylene copolymer, as those recited and claimed herein. The melt index ratio, as well as the clarity values, puncture resistance, plastic force and shrink stress, would be inherently embraced by the reference since all of the other features, including monomeric composition, are shown by the teachings therein. The final uses are shown at column 6 (lines 8-24). As such, the inventions of the instant claims would have been at least obvious, if not anticipated, by the teachings of the patent to Whaley.

Claims 1-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yap et al, newly cited.

The reference to Yap et al teaches the manufacture of polyethylene films having "high clarity" that may be monolayer and used in shrink-wrap methods for articles, as recited in claims 1-16 and 36-46, or multi-layer and used for shrink-wrapping articles, as recited in claims 17-35 and 51-60. Note the Abstract and column 11 (lines 38-47) for

high clarity and Table 2 for very low haze values for the composition. Note column 8 (lines 17-63) for the employment of the compositions as single layer or multiple layer films. Component A of the reference is the first component, "(a) a polyethylene copolymer," and the Component B of the reference is the second component, "(b) low density polyethylene (LDPE)," recited herein. The reference teaches that the film composition may comprise a polyethylene copolymer having a Composition Distribution Breadth Index (CDBI) "especially greater than 70%," embracing with that claimed herein at the paragraph bridging column 5 to column 6. At column 7 (lines 43-64) the reference teaches the polymer (a) may have a Molecular Weight Distribution (MWD) "less than or equal to 3.3," overlapping at a MWD of 2.5-3.3. At the paragraph bridging column 7 to column 8, the patent discloses a Melt Index (MI) "from 0.5 g/10 min to about 20 g/10 min" which overlaps with that claimed herein at "0.5 g/10 min to 10 g/10 min". The reference teaches the polymer to have a density "in the range of from 0.890 to 0.940 g/cm<sup>3</sup>," embracing the density range recited herein at column 5 (lines 18-35). The reference teaches the inclusion of "(b) a low density polyethylene (LDPE)" at column 2, (lines 53-60). The patent may include the high density polyethylene at column 2 (lines 38-60) since other polymers may be included for (A) as recited in instant claims 12, 13, 32, 49 and 50. The weight percentages of inclusion for each component (a) and (b), as recited in instant claims 9-11, 27-30 and 46-48, are shown at column 8 (lines18-25) and the Examples. The reference teaches at the paragraph bridging column 10 to column 11, the manufacture of films having thickness of "from about 0.25 mil to about 10 mils (6 μm to 254 μm)," which embrace those recited in claims 14-16, and 33-35. The values

for the clarity of the film, though not shown by the reference in percentages would be expected to be within those recited and claimed since the reference teaches low haze values in Table 2, "high clarity" at the Abstract and the composition is employed in food wrapping materials, where clarity is relied upon to show the product wrapped therein. While the reference is not specific to "puncture resistance damaging energy value(s)" in mJ/µm, in Table 1, "Puncture energy" is shown in units of "Joules" with attendant high values. The polyethylene copolymer and the low density polyethylene are taught by the reference to have essentially all of the physical characteristics, except for melt index ratio for the polyethylene copolymer, as those recited and claimed herein. The melt index ratio, as well as the clarity values, puncture resistance, plastic force and shrink stress, would be inherently embraced by the reference since all of the other features. including monomeric composition, are shown by the teachings therein. The final uses are shown at column 1 (lines 6-17) and column 2 (lines 9-27). As such, the inventions of the instant claims would have been at least obvious, if not anticipated, by the teachings of the patent to Yap et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 571-272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn

4 March 2005